

A generating functional for ultrasoft amplitudes in hot QCD

F. Guerin

Institut Non Lineaire de Nice, 1361 route des Lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France

Abstract

The effective amplitudes for gluon momenta $p \ll gT$ in hot QCD exhibit damping as a result of collisions. The whole set of n -point amplitudes is shown to be generated from one functional $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}(X, Y; A)$, in addition to the induced current $j_\mu(X; A)$.

PACS: 11.10.Wx, 12.38.Mh; keywords: quark-gluon plasma, effective theory

The ultrasoft amplitudes are the effective amplitudes that are obtained in pure gauge theory at high temperature, when one integrates out the scales $(T)^{-1}$ and $(gT)^{-1}$ [1, 2, 3]. The colour collective excitations, which describe the physics at such a large wavelength, may be created by a weak external disturbance. The ultrasoft fields obey the Maxwell equations [2]

$$D^\nu F_{\mu\nu}(X) = j_\mu^{ext}(X) + j_\mu^{ind}(X) \quad (1)$$

where the induced current is the response of the plasma to the initial perturbation $j_\mu^{ext}(X)$.

$$j_\mu^{a\ ind}(X) = m_D^2 \int_v v_\mu W^a(X, \mathbf{v}) \quad (2)$$

$$(v.D_X + \hat{C})^{ab} W^b(X, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E}^a(X) \quad (3)$$

with $v^\mu(v_0 = 1, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{v}^2 = 1)$ and $\int_v = \int d\Omega_v/4\pi$; $m_D^2 = g^2 NT^2/3$ and $\hat{C}$ is a collision term [1, 2, 3]

$$\hat{C} W^b(X, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{v'} C(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') W^b(X, \mathbf{v}') \quad (4)$$

$\hat{C}$ is a symmetric operator in $\mathbf{v}$ space, local in X and blind to colour, with positive eigenvalues except for a zero mode

$$\int_{v'} C(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') = 0. \quad (5)$$

The gluons $p \sim T$ take part in the collective motion, the gluons $p \sim gT$ are exchanged in the collision process.

The solution to Eqs.(2)(3) may be written in terms of the retarded Green function

$$i (v.D_x + \hat{C}) G_{ret}(X, Y; A; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') = \delta^{(4)}(X - Y) \delta_{S_2}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') \quad (6)$$

with $G_{ret}(X, Y) = 0$ for $X_0 < Y_0$. One writes

$$\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{E}(Y) = \partial_{Y_0}(v'^\mu A_\mu) - v' \cdot D_Y A_0(Y) \quad (7)$$

and, with Eq.(5), one obtains [4, 5]

$$\begin{aligned} j_\mu^{a \text{ ind}}(X; A) &= m_D^2 [-g_{0\mu} A_0^a(X) \\ &+ i \int_{v, v'} d^4 Y \ v_\mu \ G_{ret}^{ab}(X, Y; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') \ \partial_{Y_0}(v' \cdot A^b(Y))] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The (one-particle-irreducible) n -point retarded ultrasoft amplitudes are derived from the induced current [2, 4]

$$g^{n-2} G_{ret}(X; X_1 \cdots X_{n-1}) = \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial A(X_{n-1}) \cdots \partial A(X_1)} j_\mu^{ind}(X; A) |_{A=0} \quad (9)$$

The identity [4, 5]

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial G_{ret}(X, Y; A; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')}{\partial A_c^\rho(X_1)} \\ &= \int_{v''} G_{ret}(X, X_1; A; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}'') \ g T^c v''_\rho \ G_{ret}(X_1, Y; A; \mathbf{v}'', \mathbf{v}') \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

shows that the effective ultrasoft amplitudes have a tree-like structure, as the addition of a gluon leg amounts to the insertion of the leg along the propagator (in Eq.(10), the time arguments satisfy $X^0 \geq X_1^0 \geq Y^0$ and T^c is in the adjoint representation). After differentiation, the Green function that enters the amplitudes is $G_{ret}(X, Y; A = 0; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')$, i.e. in momentum space

$$\begin{aligned} (v \cdot p + i\hat{C}) \ G_{ret}(p; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') &= \mathcal{I} \\ G_{ret}(p; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') &= (v \cdot p + i\hat{C})_{vv'}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The amplitudes exhibit damping (i.e. colour relaxation), as a result of collisions. $\hat{C}$ introduces the scale $g^2 T \ln 1/g$ [1, 2, 3]. This damping is compatible with gauge symmetry, because the operator $\hat{C}$ is local in X , blind to color, and has the property (5). These amplitudes obey tree-like Ward identities [5].

The retarded amplitudes (9) are only a subset of the n -point amplitudes, as X^0 is the later time. The purpose of this letter is to show that the whole set of n -point amplitudes may be generated if one introduces the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(X, Y; A) &= m_D^2 \ T \\ &\int_{v, v'} [v_\mu G_{ret}^{ab}(X, Y; A; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') v'_\nu + v_\nu G_{ret}^{ba}(Y, X; A; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') v'_\mu] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Because of damping, the effective theory has a time arrow. Among the possible real-time formalisms for field theory in thermal equilibrium [6, 7, 8], this feature selects the Retarded-Advanced basis (R/A), where, because of causality, the propagator keeps its two-component structure G_{ret}, G_{adv} with $G_{ret}(p; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')$ as in Eq.(11) and $G_{adv}(p; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') = G_{ret}^\dagger(p; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')$. The

retarded n -point functions are, in fact, common to two bases, the R/A basis and the Keldysh basis, where they correspond to one Keldysh index “2” and the other indices “1”. There exists a Bogoliubov transformation between the two bases [9].

The ultrasoft amplitudes are such that $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}(X, Y; A)$, defined in Eq.(12), is the generating functional for the amplitude with two indices “2”. Moreover, the amplitudes with more than two indices “2” vanish. In the following, it is shown that this prescription generates a consistent set of n -point amplitudes in the R/A basis, and these obey the expected Ward identities.

Before addressing the case of the amplitudes with $p \ll gT$, one needs to recall some general properties of the bases and their connection. In both bases, all momenta are incoming the n -point amplitudes, i.e. $\sum_{l=1}^n p_l = 0$. The Keldysh basis is a rotated representation of the Closed-Time-Path representation [8], the Keldysh indices are $k_i = 1, 2$. In the R/A basis [7], an incoming momentum may be of type R or of type A , its incoming energy is $p_l^0 + i\epsilon_l$, $\epsilon_l > 0$ type R , $\epsilon_l < 0$ type A with $\sum_{l=1}^n p_l^0 = 0$ and $\sum_{l=1}^n \epsilon_l = 0$.

The relations between the (amputated) n -point functions in the Keldysh basis and in the R/A basis were obtained in perturbation theory in Ref.[9]

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(p_1 \dots p_n) = T_{\alpha_1 k_1}(p_1) \dots T_{\alpha_n k_n}(p_n) K_{k_1 \dots k_n}(p_1 \dots p_n) \quad (13)$$

where $k_i = 1, 2$ and $\alpha_i = R, A$. There exist different convenient choices in both bases. We follow Ref.[9] and choose

$$\begin{vmatrix} T_{R1}(p) & T_{R2}(p) \\ T_{A1}(p) & T_{A2}(p) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} N(p) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$N(p) = \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{\beta}{2} p^0 = n(p^0) + \frac{1}{2} \quad (15)$$

Then, the relations are

$$\Gamma_{AA \dots A}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) = 0 = K_{11 \dots 1}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) \quad (16)$$

$$\Gamma_{RA \dots A}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) = K_{21 \dots 1}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{RRA \dots A}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) &= K_{221 \dots 1}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) \\ &+ N(p_1) K_{121 \dots 1} + N(p_2) K_{211 \dots 1} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{RRRA \dots A}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) &= K_{2221 \dots 1}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) \\ &+ N(p_1) K_{1221 \dots 1} + N(p_2) K_{2121 \dots 1} + N(p_3) K_{2211 \dots 1} \\ &+ N(p_2)N(p_3) K_{2111 \dots 1} + N(p_1)N(p_3) K_{1211 \dots 1} + N(p_1)N(p_2) K_{1121 \dots 1} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and so on. Moreover, the R/A basis possesses an important complex conjugate relation [10, 11, 9]. With the choice of Eq.(14) and our conventions, it is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}(p_1 \dots p_l) \Gamma(p_{1A} \dots p_{lA}, p_{(l+1)R} \dots p_{nR}) \\ &= (-1)^n \mathcal{N}(p_{l+1} \dots p_n) \Gamma^*(p_{1R} \dots p_{lR}, p_{(l+1)A} \dots p_{nA}) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(p_1 \dots p_m) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m n(p_i^0)}{n(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^0)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m (N(p_i) + \frac{1}{2}) - \prod_{i=1}^m (N(p_i) - \frac{1}{2})\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

in particular $\mathcal{N}(p) = 1$, $\mathcal{N}(p_i, p_j) = N(p_i) + N(p_j)$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{ARR\dots R}(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n) &= \\ &(-1)^n \mathcal{N}(p_2 \dots p_n) \Gamma_{RAA\dots A}^*(p_1, p_2 \dots p_n)\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

i.e. the thermal weight are attached to the legs of type R , and the functions $\Gamma_{RAA\dots A}$ are the retarded amplitudes of the response approach.

Concentrating now on the case of the ultrasoft n -point amplitudes, a consistent approximation is obtained if one writes in Eqs.(18) to (22)

$$N(p_i) \approx \frac{T}{p_i^0} \quad (23)$$

From Eqs.(17) and (9), the amplitudes with one Keldysh index “2” are functional derivatives of the induced current $j_\mu(X; A)$. The amplitudes with two Keldysh indices “2” are functional derivatives of the function $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}(X, Y; A)$ defined in Eq.(12). K_{22} is $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}(X, Y; A = 0)$, one differentiation gives K_{221} , and so forth. An amplitude is a sum of terms made of a string of operators, and each term is linked to a specific time ordering of the gluon legs. The properties of the functional derivation are such that: i) the amplitudes are symmetric in all gluon legs of the same Keldysh index, ii) the order in $\mathbf{v}$ space and in color space follow the time order [from Eq.(10)], with a corresponding property in momentum space.

The relation (18) has an important interpretation. The retarded amplitudes $K_{211\dots 1}$ is such that p_1 is always associated with the latest time. The amplitude $K_{221\dots 1}$ is such that X and Y (i.e. p_1 and p_2) are latest and earliest times, or vice-versa. In relation (18), the terms that are present in $K_{221\dots 1}$ cancel the terms from $K_{211\dots 1}(T/p_2^0)$ such that p_2 is associated with the earliest time, and the terms from $K_{121\dots 1}(T/p_1^0)$ where p_1 is associated with the earliest time. The resulting terms in $\Gamma_{RAA\dots A}$ are such that either p_1 or p_2 is associated with the latest time, while neither p_1 nor p_2 corresponds to the earliest time, a feature expected from causality.

The constraint $\Gamma_{RR} = 0$ gives the Keldysh component of the propagator

$$K_{22}(p_1, -p_1) = \frac{T}{p_1^0}(K_{21} - K_{12}) = \frac{T}{p_1^0}[\Pi(p_{1R}) - \Pi(p_{1A})] \quad (24)$$

Explicit examples are

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p_{1R}) = m_D^2 \delta^{ab} \left[\int_{v.v'} v_\mu (v \cdot p_1 + i\hat{C})^{-1} v'_\nu (p_1^0) - g_{\mu 0} g_{\nu 0} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$\Gamma_{RAA} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu\rho}^{abc}(p_{1R}, p_{2A}, p_{3A}) = m_D^2 \int_{v, v', v''} [i f^{acb} v_\mu (v.p_1 + i\hat{C})^{-1} v'_\rho (-v.p_2 + i\hat{C})^{-1} v''_\nu (-p_2^0) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3)] \quad (26)$$

$$K_{221} = K_{\mu\nu\rho}^{abc}(p_{1(2)}, p_{2(2)}, p_{3(1)}) = m_D^2 T \int_{v, v', v''} [i f^{acb} v_\mu (v.p_1 + i\hat{C})^{-1} v'_\rho (-v.p_2 + i\hat{C})^{-1} v''_\nu + (2 \leftrightarrow 1)] \quad (27)$$

where $(i \leftrightarrow j)$ means a term obtained by the exchange of all indices, i.e. momentum, Lorentz, colour.

The complex conjugate relation (20) imposes multiple consistency conditions which are now examined. The complex conjugation amounts to a time reversal of each string of operators, up to a sign $(-1)^{n-1}$. The constraint

$$\Gamma_{RRA} = -\left(\frac{T}{p_1^0} + \frac{T}{p_2^0}\right) \Gamma_{AAR}^* \quad (28)$$

is satisfied very simply by the operators' strings. In Γ_{RRA} , p_3 is associated with the earliest time, as p_1 and p_2 cannot be, and this is also the case for the complex conjugate of Γ_{AAR} (where p_3 is the latest time). In a similar way

$$\Gamma_{RRAA} \left(\frac{T}{p_3^0} + \frac{T}{p_4^0}\right) = \Gamma_{AARR}^* \left(\frac{T}{p_1^0} + \frac{T}{p_2^0}\right) \quad (29)$$

In Γ_{RRAA} , p_1 or p_2 is the latest time and neither of them is the earliest time. This time ordering is equivalent to the one of Γ_{AARR}^* , where p_3 or p_4 is the earliest time and neither of them is the latest time.

Turning to relation (19), the constraint $\Gamma_{RRR} = 0$ leads to the result $K_{222} = 0$, and the constraint

$$\Gamma_{RRRA} = \Gamma_{AAAR}^* T^2 \left(\frac{1}{p_1^0 p_2^0} + \frac{1}{p_1^0 p_3^0} + \frac{1}{p_2^0 p_3^0} \right) \quad (30)$$

is obeyed with $K_{2221} = 0$. Then, from $\Gamma_{RRRR} = 0$ one deduces that $K_{2222} = 0$. All the constraints on time ordering are satisfied with the vanishing of the amplitudes with three or more Keldysh indices "2". One can go to the 5-point functions and check that all the constraints from Eq.(20) are obeyed.

The set of vertices in the R/A basis may be used in a perturbative expansion. For example, one-loop self-energy diagrams with loop momentum $g^2 T \ln 1/g$ are made either with a pair $\Gamma_{RRA}, \Gamma_{AAR}$, or with Γ_{RRAA} [5] (a retarded propagator joins an A leg to an R leg, as it joins an outgoing p_R (i.e. incoming $(-p)_A$) to an incoming p_R).

One now turns to the Ward identities which are satisfied by the Keldysh amplitudes. They are a consequence of the gauge covariance of the Green function (6) and of the conservation laws resulting from Eq.(5), i.e.

$$D^\mu j_\mu^{ind} = 0 = D_X^\mu \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} = D_Y^\nu \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \quad (31)$$

The n -point retarded amplitudes are related to $(n - 1)$ retarded ones [5]. Another set of identities takes place within the subspace with two indices “2”. For a contraction of a leg with Keldysh index “2”, one uses relations such as

$$\int_v v.p_1 (v.p_1 + i\hat{C})_{v.v'}^{-1} = \int_v (v.p_1 + i\hat{C}) (v.p_1 + i\hat{C})^{-1} = 1 \quad (32)$$

with the help of Eq.(5). For a contraction of a leg with index “1”, one uses relations such as

$$v.p_3 = (v.(p_3 + p_1) + i\hat{C}) - (v.p_1 + i\hat{C}) \quad (33)$$

Those identities allow one to cancel one propagator of each operators’ string. For example, the resulting Ward identities for K_{2211} are

$$\begin{aligned} -ip_1^\mu K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{abcd}(p_{1(2)}, p_{2(2)}, p_{3(1)}, p_{4(1)}) = \\ f^{acm} K_{\nu\rho\sigma}^{bmd}(p_{2(2)}, (p_1 + p_3)_{(2)}, p_{4(1)}) + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -ip_4^\sigma K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{abcd}(p_{1(2)}, p_{2(2)}, p_{3(1)}, p_{4(1)}) = \\ f^{dcm} K_{\mu\nu\rho}^{abm}(p_{1(2)}, p_{2(2)}, (p_3 + p_4)_{(1)}) \\ + [f^{dam} K_{\mu\nu\rho}^{mbc}((p_1 + p_4)_{(2)}, p_{2(2)}, p_{3(1)}) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

One can check that these identities lead to the Ward identities which are expected in the R/A basis [5]. For example, for the $RRAA$ amplitude, there enter the identities, factors such as

$$\mathcal{N}(p_1, p_2 + p_4) \approx \frac{T}{p_1^0} + \frac{T}{p_2^0 + p_4^0} \quad , \quad \mathcal{N}(p_2, p_1 + p_3) \approx \frac{T}{p_2^0} + \frac{T}{p_1^0 + p_3^0} \quad . \quad (36)$$

To conclude, we summarize the argument. The induced current j_μ is the generating function of the retarded ultrasoft amplitudes, and, in particular, of the full set of two- and three-point functions. With the approximation $N(p_0) \approx T/p_0$ (the usual companion to the soft amplitudes), one deduces, in the Keldysh basis, the expressions for K_{22} , K_{221} and the relation $K_{222} = 0$. Then, from K_{22} , K_{221} , gauge symmetry suggests to step across to the generating function $K_{\mu\nu}$ of all amplitudes with two Keldysh indices 2, i.e. the Green function (6) enters $K_{\mu\nu}$. Moreover, general constraints exist on the set of n -point amplitudes. They are stated in the R/A basis, and they allow to advance towards the full set of n -point amplitudes. One obtains the result that all amplitudes with more than two indices 2 vanish.

This set of ultrasoft amplitudes may be derived from an effective action in the Keldysh basis. In addition to the field $A_\mu(X)$, of type 1, one introduces a field $B_\mu(X)$ of type 2. The effective action’s potential term is

$$\Gamma^V(A, B) = Tr[\int_x J_\mu(X; A) B^\mu(X) + \int_{x,y} \frac{1}{2} B^\mu(X) K_{\mu\nu}(X, Y; A) B^\nu(Y)] \quad (37)$$

with $\int_x = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \int d^3x$. The role of the field B is clarified if one recalls the following properties of the Keldysh basis [8]: (i) the Legendre transform reads $E(J_1, J_2) = -\int(J_1.B + J_2.A) - \Gamma(A, B)$ (ii) the source J_2 generates the observables in A , (iii) $B = 0$ amounts to $J_2 = 0$, (iv) the relation $B = 0$ says that the field A takes the same value on the forward and backward branches of the time contour in the Closed-Time-Path basis.

References

- [1] D. Bödeker, Phys. Lett. B 426 (1998) 351; Nucl. Phys. B 559 (1999) 502; B 566 (2000) 402.
- [2] J. P. Blaizot and E. Iancu, Nucl. Phys. B 570 (2000) 326; B 557 (1999) 183.
- [3] P. Arnold, D. Son and L.G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 105020; D 60 (1999) 025007.
- [4] J. P. Blaizot and E. Iancu, Nucl. Phys. B 417 (1994) 608; B 434 (1995) 662; hep-ph/0101103.
- [5] F. Guerin, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 045017.
- [6] M. Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [7] P. Aurenche and T. Becherrawy, Nucl. Phys. B 379 (1992) 259.
- [8] K.Chou, Z. Su, B. Hao, and L. Yu, Phys. Rep. 118 (1985) 1.
- [9] M. van Eijck, R. Kobes, and Ch. G. van Weert, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4097.
- [10] C. Van Eijck and Ch. G. Van Weert, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 305.
- [11] F. Guerin, Nucl. Phys. B 432 (1994) 281.